$R = Et$  where a chain structure occurs.<sup>20</sup> The structure of **1** is not obviously related to the established  $\text{Ag}_4\text{X}_4(\text{PR}_3)_4$ cubane structure, $22-24$  to heterometallic sulfide-silver-phosphine cages such as  $(MoS<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(AgPPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>25</sup> or to the distorted  $[Ag_6(SPh)_8]^2$ <sup>-</sup> cage.<sup>8</sup>

The unique component of the structure of **1** is the centered hexagonal  $Ag(SR)_{3}Ag_{3}$  basal section. It is the basal-Ag- $(SR)$ <sub>3</sub>Ag<sub>3</sub> plus podal- $(SR)$ <sub>3</sub>Ag idealization of the Ag<sub>5</sub> $(SR)$ <sub>6</sub> core, with octahedro- $(SR)_6$ , that allows this structure to be related to another known thiolate cage structure, namely the **trig~nal-bipyramido-M~-octahedro-(SR)~** structure, **5,** of  $[\overline{M}_5(S-t-Bu)_6]^-, M = Cu, Ag.<sup>16,26</sup>$  The relationship is shown in Figure 4. The trigonal-bipyramido-M<sub>5</sub>-trigonal-prismo- $(SR)$ <sub>6</sub> structure (Figure 4A) is partially twisted about the threefold axis to generate structure **5** (Figure 4B), and then this twisting is continued by the three digonal metal atoms which drop into the lower trigonal plane as shown by the arrows in Figure 4B, thus creating the basal-centered hexagonal unit. The equatorial metal atoms of **5** become the peripheral basal metal atoms, and the upper trigonal plane of Figure 4A becomes the podal trigonal unit. Figure 4C shows

- (20) Yamaguchi, H.; Kido, A.; Uechi, T.; Yasukouchi, K. Bull. Chem. *SOC. Jon* **1976.** *49.* 127 1.
- (21) **Hesse,** R.f Jennische, **P.** *Acta* Chem. *Scand.* **1972, 26,** 3855. Hesse, R.; Nilson, L. *Zbid.* **1969,** *23,* 825.
- (22) Teo, B. K.; Calabrese, J. C. *Znorg.* Chem. **1976,** *15,* 2467, 2474.
- (23) Churchill, M. R.; Donahue, J.; Rotella, F. J. *Znorg. Chem.* **1976,** *15,*  2572.
- (24) The  $Ag_4(\mu_3-SR)_3$  basal section of 1 could be conceived of as a derivative of an  $Ag_4(\mu_3-SR)_4$  cube, flattened after removal of one triply bridging thiolate.
- (25) Muller, A.; Bogge, H.; KBniger-Ahlborn, D.; Hellmann, W. Inorg. Chem. **1979,** *18,* 2301.
- (26) Bowmaker, G. A,; Tan, L-C. *Aust. J.* Chem. **1979, 32,** 1443.

the final structure with new silver-silver approaches marked as broken lines and with the terminal phosphine ligands added to peripheral metal atoms in the basal unit.

This type of interpretation of the structure of **1,** involving symmetrizations of the array of Ag, **S,** and P core atoms, must be tempered by the observed variability of dimensions. One peripheral Ag-S distance in the basal unit is ca. 0.6 **A** longer than the remainder. The geometry of the core must also be subject to the requirements of the bulky phosphine ligands that cover its surface, and it will be pertinent to determine whether the structure **2** recurs with smaller phosphines, by investigations in progress.

In silver-thiolate structures, some Ag-S distances are long and may be relegated to secondary bonding, possibly nonexistent in other conformations of the molecule in solution.<sup>8,18</sup> This type of structure analysis led to recognition of the monocyclic structure of **(cyclohexanethiolato)silver.I8** If only the two shortest Ag-SR bonds at each silver atom in the polycyclic molecule **1** are connected, the following 12-membered mo-<br>nocyclic molecule results:<br> $A_94-55-A_91(P1)-54-A_92(P2)-52$ <br> $S6-A_93(P3)-51-A_95-53-A_96(P4)(P5)$ nocyclic molecule results:

$$
Ag4-S5-Ag1(P1)-S4-Ag2(P2)-S2
$$
  
\nS6-Ag3(P3)-S1-Ag5-S3-Ag6(P4)(P5)

**Acknowledgments.** We thank the Australian Research Grants Scheme for financial support and D. C. Craig for collection of the diffraction data.

Registry **No.** 1-2to1, 90195-56-5.

Supplementary Material Available: A tabulation of all atomic coordinates and thermal parameters and selected bond lengths and angles and a listing of observed and calculated structure factors (36 pages). Ordering information **is** given on any current masthead page.

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

## **Hexacarbonyl(fulvalene)ditungsten(** *W- W).* **A Stretched Tungsten-Tungsten Single Bond**

## HARMON B. ABRAHAMSON\* and MARY JANE **HEEG**

### *Received September* **7,** *1983*

The title compound,  $(\eta^5:\eta^5-C_5H_4C_5H_4)W_2(CO)_6$ , is found as a byproduct of the synthesis of  $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)W(CO)_1]_2$  via the thermolysis of  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>)W(CO)<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>. The molecule possesses one of the longest W-W distances (3.347 (1) Å) recorded for which a bonding interaction can be established and **is** accompanied by a bending deformation of the fulvalene ligand toward the metal centers with a 16.1 (5)<sup>o</sup> dihedral angle. The structure was solved in the triclinic space group  $P\bar{1}$  to an *R* factor of 0.049. Unit cell parameters are  $a = 6.708$  (2) Å,  $b = 9.626$  (2) Å,  $c = 12.450$  (2) Å,  $\alpha = 76.16$  (1)°,  $\beta =$ 84.37 (2)°,  $\gamma$  = 74.95 (2)°,  $V = 753$  (4) Å<sup>3</sup>, and  $Z = 2$ . The molecule displays noncrystallographic 2-fold symmetry about an axis passing through the W-W bond and through the bridgeheal C-C bond of the fulvalene ligand. The compound is more reactive toward iodine than the related unbridged  $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)W(CO)_3]_2$  but is substantially less photoreactive than the unbridged dimer.

The thermal reactions<sup>1</sup> and photochemical behavior<sup>2</sup> of metal-metal-bonded compounds are areas of vigorous investigation at present. Many of the most intensively studied compounds are metal carbonyl dimers. A complex like  $[CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>3</sup> with a tungsten-tungsten single bond, is useful because of the relative inertness to thermal substitution of its carbonyl ligands and the reasonable reactivity toward cleavage of the metal-metal bond.<sup>4,5</sup> Modifications in the reactivity of this compound are to be anticipated if the two fragments are still connected after metal-metal bond cleavage.

- (3) Cp =  $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl, Fv =  $\eta^5$ : $\eta^5$ -fulvalene, Me<sub>2</sub>dtc = N,N-di-<br>methvldithiocarbamato. meth yldithiocarbamato.
- (4) Abrahamson, H. B.; Wrighton, M. *S. J.* Am. *Chem. SOC.* **1977,** 99, 5510-5512.
- (5) Burckett-St. Laurent, J. C. T. R.; Field, J. *S.;* Haines, R. J.; McMahon, M. *J. Organomet.* Chem. **1979,** *181,* 117-130.

<sup>(1)</sup> Chisholm, M. H., Ed. 'Reactivity of Metal-Metal Bonds"; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1981; ACS Symp. Ser. No. 155.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Geoffroy, G. L.; Wrighton, M. S. "Organometallic Photochemistry";<br>Academic Press: New York, 1979. (b) Wrighton, M. S.; Graff, J. L.;<br>Luong, J. C.; Reichel, C. L.; Robbins, J. L. Reference 1, Chapter 5, pp **85-1** 10.

One way to accomplish this is to link the two cyclopentadienyl ligands to form an  $\eta^5$ : $\eta^5$ -fulvalene ligand, I.



Fulvalene complexes of iron (CpFeFvFeCp,<sup>6</sup>  $Fv_2Fe_2$ <sup>7</sup>) were among the first prepared; these do not contain metal-metal bonds. Structures are now known for binuclear fulvalene complexes of Ti,<sup>8,9</sup> Mo,<sup>10</sup> Ni,<sup>11</sup> and Ru.<sup>12</sup> Recently, fulvalene-bridged metal-metal-bonded carbonyl complexes containing  $\tilde{R}u$ ,<sup>12</sup> Mo,<sup>12,13</sup> and W<sup>14</sup> have been prepared. The fulvalene-bridged dinuclear complexes of ruthenium and molybdenum are significantly more reactive than their unbridged counterparts.<sup>12,13</sup> Unfortunately, published data<sup>14</sup> on the title complex,  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$ , do not allow us to make a similar comparison.

We have isolated the title complex from an unexpected source and determined its crystal structure by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The details of that structure and its relationship to the reactivity of the fulvalene-bridged metalmetal-bonded complexes are presented here.

#### **Experimental Section**

Materials and Methods. Hexane and toluene were reagent grade and were purified by acid wash and dried over molecular sieves.<sup>15</sup> The benzyl complex  $CpW(CO)$ <sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Ph was prepared via literature pro $cedures.<sup>16,17</sup>$  Other materials were reagent grade or better and were used as received. Infrared spectra were taken with a Beckman 4250 spectrometer, Fourier transform 80-MHz **'H** NMR spectra were obtained on an IBM NR-8OB instrument, and mass spectra were collected on a Hewlett-Packard 5985B GC/MS/DS in the directinsertion mode. Electronic spectra were measured on a Hitachi Perkin-Elmer 100-80 spectrophotometer.

**Isolation of Hexacarbonyl(fulvalene)ditungsten.** The title complex was isolated from a batch of  $[CpW(CO)_1]_2$  prepared by the thermolysis of  $\text{CpW(CO)}_3\text{CH}_2\text{Ph}$  following literature procedures,<sup>16</sup> except that the thermolysis was carried out under high vacuum at 180 "C for only 15 min. When the oven was opened to check the reaction, it was noted that the tube stopper had come loose, so the material was exposed to air at the oven temperature for some unknown length of time. (Previous preparations where exclusion of oxygen was complete show no evidence of contamination by  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$ .) Purification of the fulvalene dimer was accomplished by chromatography. All operations with the dimers in solution were carried out under conditions of reduced light. About 0.3 **g** of the tungsten dimer from the above synthesis was dissolved in 40 mL of warm toluene  $(<$ 40 °C). A 30 **X** 2.5 cm column of grade **I1** alumina (Sigma) was made with hexane used as solvent. The toluene solution was applied to the column and

(6) Rausch, **M.** D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1961,26,** 1802-1805.

- (7) (a) Cowan, D. *0.;* LeVanda, *C. J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1972,** *94,*  9271-9272. (b) Mueller-Westerhof, **U.** T.; Eilbracht, P. *Ibid.* **1972,94,**  9212-9274.
- (8) Guggenberger, L. J.; Tebbe, F. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1976, 98, 41 37-4143.
- (9) Olthof, G. J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1977,** 128, 367-373.
- (10) Prout, K.; Couldwell, M. C. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect.* 8 **1977,** 833, 2146-21 50.
- (1 **1)** Sharp,-P. R.; Raymond, K. N.; Smart, J. **C.;** McKinney, R. J. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1981, 103, 753-757.
- (12) Vollhardt, K. P. C.; Weidman, T. *W. J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 1676–1677.
- 
- (13) Smart, J. C.; Curtis, C. J. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 1788-1790.<br>(14) Nesmeyanov, A. N.; Perevalova, E. G.; Leont'eva, L. I.; Shumilina, E.<br>V. Dokl. Chem. (Engl. Transl.) 1978, 238, 41-45; Dokl. Akad. Nauk *SSSR* **1978, 238,** 588-591.
- (15) Abrahamson, H. **B.;** Freeman, M. L.; Hossain, M. B., van der Helm, D. *Inorg. Chem.,* following paper in this issue.
- **(16)** Ginley, D. **S.; Bock,** C. R.; Wrighton, *M. S. Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1977,**  *23,* 85-94.
- (17) Pittman, C. **U.,** Jr.; Felis, R. F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1974,** *72,*  399-4 1 **3.**

Table I. Atomic Positional Parameters for  $Fv[W(CO)_3]$ , with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

atom	x	у	$\overline{z}$
W1	0.04546(7)	0.08507(5)	0.21475(4)
W <sub>2</sub>	0.01456(8)	0.40158(5)	0.29004(4)
C <sub>1</sub>	0.3500(19)	0.1159(14)	0.1149(10)
C <sub>2</sub>	0.3369(20)	$-0.0310(15)$	0.1261(11)
C <sub>3</sub>	0.3459(19)	$-0.1030(13)$	0.2411(11)
C <sub>4</sub>	0.3581(20)	0.0015(14)	0.3015(11)
C <sub>5</sub>	0.3650(17)	0.1382(13)	0.2232(10)
C6	0.3497(19)	0.2760(14)	0.2518(10)
C7	0.3425(20)	0.3014(14)	0.3593(10)
C8	0.2918(21)	0.4568(15)	0.3517(11)
C9	0.2725(23)	0.5243(14)	0.2346(12)
C10	0.3043(21)	0.4185(14)	0.1747(11)
C11	$-0.1448(20)$	0.2204(14)	0.1007(11)
O <sub>11</sub>	$-0.2399(19)$	0.2879(11)	0.0288(9)
C <sub>12</sub>	$-0.1397(21)$	0.0452(15)	0.3468(12)
O12	$-0.2344(17)$	0.0031(12)	0.4243(8)
C13	$-0.0886(20)$	$-0.0496(15)$	0.1704(10)
O <sub>13</sub>	$-0.1632(16)$	$-0.1308(11)$	0.1433(9)
C14	$-0.1843(24)$	0.4859(16)	0.1731(12)
O14	$-0.2972(18)$	0.5575(12)	0.1029(8)
C <sub>15</sub>	$-0.1603(23)$	0.5703(16)	0.3448(10)
O <sub>15</sub>	$-0.2589(21)$	0.6693(12)	0.3761(9)
C16	$-0.1363(22)$	0.3045(15)	0.4201(11)
O <sub>16</sub>	$-0.2189(19)$	0.2598(12)	0.4993(8)

the column was eluted with 1:l toluene/hexane and pure toluene to remove the unbridged  $[CpW(CO)_3]_2$ . During this time a deep purple band moved about halfway down the column. This band was removed by elution with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . Slow evaporation of solvent yielded 20 mg of purple needles. The infrared spectrum we find for  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$ in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution (2016, 1963, 1921, 1906 (sh) cm<sup>-1</sup>) is shifted by 5-7 cm<sup>-1</sup> from that previously reported (2021, 1970, 1929 (br) cm<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>14</sup> but is significantly different from that of the unbridged  $[CpW(CO)<sub>1</sub>]$ ,  $(2010 \, (w), 1954, 1903, 1893 \, (sh) \, cm^{-1}, CH_2Cl_2)$ . The mass spectrum of  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  displays multiplets with a  $W_2$  isotope pattern for and  $[M - 6CO - 4C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]$ <sup>+</sup>:  $664$  (5.8), 636 (2.9), 608 (6.9), 580 (8.7), 552 (46.3), 524 (54.6), 496 (28.5), 494 (31.4), 468 (28.1), 442 (22.0), 416 (18.3), 392 (5.8) and doubly charged ions for each of these except the first and the last; 318 (4.5), 304 (5.6), 290 (8.5), 276 (16.9), 262 (36.5), 248 (83.1), 247 (loo), 234 (53.9), 221 (47.2), 208 (15.6). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the title complex in CDCI<sub>3</sub> solution consists of two triplets of equal intensity at  $\delta$  5.26 and 4.57, each with  $J =$ 2.5 Hz (Iit.<sup>14</sup>  $\delta$  5.60 (t), 5.00 (t), acetone), which again, as expected, is substantially different from what we find for the unbridged dimer (6 5.38 **(s),** CDCI,; lit. 6 5.67,14 acetone; 6 4.79,18 benzene). The UV-vis spectrum of  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  in dichloromethane has the following maxima **(A,** nm **(e,** M-I cm-I)): 232 (40000), 278 (sh), 352 (20000), 548 (700). (The extinction coefficients are accurate only to  $\pm 15\%$  because of the small amount of sample available.)  $[M]^+$ ,  $[M - nCO]^+$  ( $n = 1-6$ ),  $[M - 6CO - H_2 - nC_2H_2]^+$  ( $n = 0-3$ ),

**X-ray Diffraction Structural Determination of**  $Fv(W(CO)_{3}]_2$ **.** The title compound typically crystallizes as deep red parallelepipeds. A single crystal of approximate size 0.10 **X** 0.12 **X** 0.40 mm was selected and mounted on a CAD-4 automated diffractometer equipped with Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation and a graphite monochromator; 2704 total reflections were collected at ambient temperature in the sphere  $3^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 50^{\circ}$ . After averaging, 2645 unique reflections were obtained; of these, 2306 were observed reflections with  $I_0 \geq 3\sigma(I)$ . Least-squares calculations on 25 high-angle reflections yielded a triclinic unit cell of dimensions  $\alpha = 6.708$  (2)  $\mathbf{\AA}$ ,  $b = 9.626$  (2)  $\mathbf{\AA}$ ,  $c = 12.450$  (2)  $\mathbf{\AA}$ ,  $\alpha = 76.16$  (1)<sup>o</sup>,  $\beta = 84.37 \ (2)^{\circ}, \ \gamma = 74.95 \ (2)^{\circ}, \$  and  $V = 753 \ (4) \ \text{\AA}^3$ . Other details of data collection were as follows: scan method,  $\theta/2\theta$ ; scan rate, variable-up to 45 **s** per scan; scan range, calculated as (0.80 + 0.20  $\tan \theta$ <sup>o</sup> with 25% extension on each side for backgrounds. Three intensity monitors were checked every 2 h of X-ray time and fluctuated randomly by 2% over the entire data collection. Three orientation standards were centered after every 200 observations. Absorption corrections were applied with  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 161 cm<sup>-1</sup>; transmission coefficients varied from 0.237 to 0.133. The calculated density was 2.927  $g \text{ cm}^{-3}$  with  $Z = 2$ .

<sup>(18)</sup> McFarlane, H. **C.** E.; McFarlane, W.; Rycrcft, D. S. J. *Chem.* **SOC.,**  *Dalton Trans.* **1976.** 1616-1622.

Hexacarbonyl( fulvalene)ditungsten( *W-* W)



**Figure 1.** ORTEP plot of  $Fv[W(CO)_3]$ , showing the numbering scheme. View is from above the fulvalene ligand, approximately along the noncrystallographic *C2* axis through the midpoints of **C5-C6** and **Wl-W2.** Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2. ORTEP plot of  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  showing the W-W separation and the deviation of the fulvalene ligand from planarity. The angle shown is that between the least-squares planes in Table V (supplemental material). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

**Solution and Refinement of the Structure.** The centrosymmetric space group<sup>19</sup> Pl was assumed and gave a satisfactory refinement. The tungsten atoms were placed by reference to a Patterson<sup>20</sup> synthesis which sufficiently phased the data so that the remainder of the molecule was evident on the succeeding Fourier map. Hydrogen atoms on the fulvalene ring were placed on calculated positions ( $C-H = 1.08$ ) Å, trigonal geometry) and held invariant with  $U = 0.06$  Å<sup>2</sup>. An empirical systematic secondary extinction correction was applied. The corrected *F,* values are of the form

$$
F_{\rm c}(\text{cor}) = F_{\rm c}(1 - 0.001XF_{\rm c}^2/(\sin \theta))
$$

which is the usual SHELX correction. Full-matrix least-squares calculations on all observed reflections yielded  $R = 0.049$  and  $R_w =$ 0.060.<sup>21</sup> The final value of  $X$  in the above equation was 0.0018. The maximum shift in any parameter in the last cycle was less than  $0.03\sigma$ , the number of variables was 218, and the number of observations was 2306. In a final difference map the largest five peaks represented 1.5-4.5 electrons and were located within 1 *8,* of a tungsten atom; otherwise, the map was featureless. Neutral-atom scattering factors and corrections for anomalous dispersion were obtained from ref 22. Final atomic positional parameters are found in Table **I;** interatomic distances and angles are presented in Tables I1 and 111, respectively. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the molecular geometry and numbering scheme.

**Reaction of** Dimers with **Iodine.** In the dark, 20.2 mg (0.030 mmol) of  $[CPW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> and 8.1 mg (0.032 mmol) of iodine were each dissolved in 5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the solutions purged with a nitrogen stream for a few minutes. The solutions were mixed, and the progress of the reaction was followed by infrared spectroscopy. Bands for  $\text{Cp}_2\text{W}_2(\text{CO})_6\text{I}^+$  (2056, 2045 cm<sup>-1</sup>; lit.<sup>5</sup> 2059, 2049 cm<sup>-1</sup>, et al.) and CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>I (2037, 1953 (br) cm<sup>-1</sup>; lit.<sup>5</sup> 2037, 1952 (br) cm<sup>-1</sup>) began to grow in immediately. Conversion to  $CpW(CO)_3I$  was nearly complete after 45 min. In a similar fashion, 2 mg (0.003 mmol) of  $Fv[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> and 1 mg of iodine (0.004 mmol) were reacted in a

Table **II.** Bond Lengths  $(A)$  in  $Fv[W(CO),],$ **(Esd's** in Parentheses)



**Table III.** Selected Bond Angles (deg) in  $Fv[W(CO)_3]$ , (Esd's in Parentheses)<sup>a</sup>



*a* Cpl and Cp2 denote the centroids of the component cyclopentadienyl rings of the fulvalene ligand.

total of 1 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Peaks at 2064 and 2049 cm<sup>-1</sup> immediately signaled the production of an intermediate, likely  $FvW_2(CO)_6I^+$ , which decayed in 5 min to form  $Fv[W(CO)_3I]_2$ .  $(\nu_{CO}$ : 2035, 1958 (br) cm<sup>-1</sup>; lit.<sup>14</sup> 2043, 1966 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  5.88 (t), 5.56 (t), CDCl<sub>3</sub>; lit.<sup>14</sup>  $\delta$  6.50 (t), 5.97 (t), (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO; the discrepancies in literature values parallel those found in the data for the title complex from the same source (see above).)

**Photochemical Procedures.** In the dark, 0.5 mg of complex  $([CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>$  or  $Fv[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>$ ) was dissolved in 1 mL of  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . For reactions with methyl iodide, 60  $\mu$ L of CH<sub>3</sub>I was added at this point. The solution was purged with nitrogen and loaded into a 0.5-mm path length NaCl infrared cell. The cell was irradiated with a broad-band ultraviolet source (Ultraviolet Products XX-15, 300-400 nm) and the progress of the reaction followed by infrared spectroscopy.

#### **Results and Discussion**

**Isolation and Characterization of the Complex.** On one occasion during the preparation of  $CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>(Me<sub>2</sub>dtc)<sub>3</sub><sup>3</sup>$  from  $[CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> and  $(Me<sub>2</sub>NCS<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ ,<sup>15</sup> one of us  $(H.B.A.)$  noted the presence of an impurity tricarbonyl tungsten complex. Mass spectral analysis of the 0.5 mg of compound isolated from that synthesis indicated that the likely formula was  $C_{10}H_8W_2(CO)_6$ . The source of the impurity was traced to a batch of  $[CPW(CO)_3]_2$  that had been prepared by the method of Ginley, Bock, and Wrighton,<sup>16</sup> and more was isolated from the preparation. The presence of a contaminant was originally unsuspected, since there is a weak band in spectra of [CpW-  $(CO)_3$ <sub>2</sub> that occurs at 2010 cm<sup>-1</sup> that would obscure the stronger 2016 cm<sup>-1</sup> band of the bridged dimer if it were present only in trace amounts. The mass spectrum of the Fv[W-

**<sup>(19)</sup>** "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography", 3rd ed.; Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, **1969; Vol.** 1.

**<sup>(20)</sup>** All computations **were** performed by using local modifications of the programs of **SHELX-76:** Sheldrick, G. M. 'SHELX-76"; University Chemical Laboratory: Cambridge, England, **1976.** 

Communication of  $\sum |F_0| - |F_0|| / \sum |F_1|$ ,  $F_w = \sum |F_1| - |F_2| / \sum |F_2| - |F_3|$ ,  $F_w = \sum |F_0| - |F_1| / \sum |F_1| + \sum |F_2| + \sum |F_3| + \sum |F_4| + \sum |F_5| + \sum |F_6|$ .<br>
(22) "International Tables for X-ray Crystallography"; Kynoch Press: Birmin

 $(CO)_{3}]_{2}$  we isolated is very similar to that reported for Fv- $[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> isolated in the thermolysis of Cp(CO)<sub>3</sub>W- $(C_5H_4)Mn(CO)<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>14</sup> but we find differences in NMR and infrared spectral values **(see** Experimental Section). No evidence for benzyl substitution is seen in either  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  or the unbridged  $[CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub>, in contrast to the thermolysis of  $CpW(CO)_{3}CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5}$  performed under nitrogen.<sup>17</sup>

The electronic spectrum of  $Fv[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]_{2}$  in dichloromethane (232 nm *(E* 40000), 278 (sh), 352 (20000), 548 (700)) indicates the presence of a tungsten-tungsten bond, 11,



and argues against the alternative  $C_5H_4$ -bridged structure III, which should be yellow.<sup>23</sup> In order to assign the structure unambiguously, and to compare it to the unbridged dimer  $[CpW(CO)_3]_2$ <sup>24</sup> we have done a single-crystal X-ray diffraction structural determination of the title complex, Fv[ W-  $(CO)$ <sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, and find it to exist in conformation II, as expected.

**Structure of the Complex.** The structure of  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$ consists of a fulvalene ligand that is  $\pi$ -bound to two W(CO)<sub>3</sub> moieties in a cis arrangement (see Figures 1 and **2).** The asymmetric unit is composed of one binuclear molecule with all atoms occupying general positions. The molecule does, however, exhibit nearly 2-fold symmetry with the noncrystallographic rotation axis passing through the midpoints of the W1-W2 and C5-C6 bonds. The  $\eta^5:\eta^5$ -fulvalene ligand in combination with three carbonyl groups around each tungsten requires a single metal-metal bond to fulfill the 18-electron rule.25 The existence of a W-W bond in this structure is confirmed by the deformation of the optimally planar fulvalene ligand in the direction of a decreasing  $W1 \cdots W2$  separation.

The Wl-W2 distance of 3.347 (1) **A** is one of the longest W-W distances recorded for which a bonding interaction can be established **(see** Table IV). It thus falls into a class of what can be called "long" W-W single bonds and is one of the longest members of this class. (Normal W-W single-bond distances fall into the range 2.5-2.7 **A;26** nonbonding W-W distances are usually greater than 3.4  $\AA^{26a,27}$  but can be shorter in exceptional cases.<sup>28</sup>) Inspection of Table IV reveals that complexes with "long" W-W single bonds are invariably low-valent-metal carbonyls and that it is complexes with tungsten in higher oxidation states and with more classical

- (23) CpW(CO),R compounds are generally yellow, **See,** e.g., ref 12 or: Severson, R. G.; Wojcicki, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1978,157,173-185.
- (24) Adams, R. D.; Collins, D. M.; Cotton, F. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974,** *13,*  1086-1 090.
- (25) Huheey, J. H. "Inorganic Chemistry", 3rd ed.; Harper and Row: New York, 1983; pp 589-595.
- (a) Akiyama, M.; Chisholm, M. H.; Cotton, F. A.; Extine, M. W.; Haitko, D. A.; Leonelli, J.; Little, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 179-784. (b) Chisholm, M. H.; Cotton, F. A.; Extine, M. W.; Murillo, 779-76. (0) Culsinoini, W. H., Couton, P. A., Extine, W. Windon, C.A. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 696-698. (c) Fischer, E. O.; Kellerer, W.; Zimmer-Gasser, B.; Schubert, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 199, C24-C26. (d) Chisholm, F. **A.;** Dori, 2.; Sekutowski, **J.** C. *Ibid.* **1978,** 17, 2946-2950. (i) Templeton, J. L.; Jacobson, R. **A.;** McCarley, R. E. *Ibid.* **1977,** *16,*  3320-3328.
- (a) Guerchais, J. E.; LeQuere, J. L.; Petillon, F. Y.; Manojlovic-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Sharp, D. W. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1982, 283-289. (b) Fischer, E. O.; Wittmann, D.; Himmelreich, D.; Cai, R.;  $(27)$
- Ackermann, **K.;** Neugebauer, D. *Chem. Ber.* **1982,** *115,* 3152-3166. Weidenhammer, K.; Ziegler, M. L. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1979,** *455,*  43-59.

Table **IV.** Complexes with Long W-W Single Bonds<sup>a</sup>

$\text{compd}^b$	W-W dist. A	ref
$W_2(CO)_8$ (MeCCM eCHCCHMe <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>d</sup>	2.90(2)	36
$W_2(CO), Br_2(C_2Me_2)(Ph_2AsCH_2AsPh_2)$	2.937(1)	37
$[Me_{4}N][W_{3}(CO)_{9}(OC,H_{3})_{3}]$	$2.966(2)$ , $2.939(2)^e$	38
$Cp_2W_2(CO)_4(C_2H_2)$	2.987(1)	33c
$Cp_2W_2(CO)_4[C(O)C_2(CO_2Me)_2]$	3.017(1)	33i
$Cp, W, (CO)$ <sub>4</sub> $(As, )$	3.026(2),	33i
	3.013 $(2)^e$ 3.049(1)	39a
$W2(CO)8(MeCCMeCHCHCMe2)$	3.05(1)	40
$W_2(CO)_8(PEt_2)_2$		
$W_2(CO)_2$ (MeCCMeCHCHCMe <sub>2</sub> )	3.139(2) 3.140(1)	39 b 41
$W_2(CO)$ <sub>o</sub> $(CHCHCMe_2PMe_3)$	3.155	42
$W_2(CO)_{8}I_2$	3.157(1)	43
$W2(CO)8(CHCHCMe2)$		44
$Cp_2W_2Os(CO)$ , $[C_2(C_6H_4Me)_2]$	$3.159(2)$ , 3.017 $(2)^e$	
$W_2(CO)_8(HSiEt_2)_2$	3.183(1)	45
$W_2(CO)_q$ (CHCHCMe <sub>2</sub> )	3.189(1)	46
$Cp_2W_2(CO)$	3.222(1)	24
$W_2(CO)_{6}$ (gazl)	3.264(1)	31
$HW_2(CO)_9(NO)$	3.329(1)	47
	$3.328(3)$ ,	
	3.330 $(3)^{e,f}$	
$W_2(CO)$ <sub>6</sub> $(C_5H_4C_5H_4)$	3.347(1)	this work
$W_2(CO)_{10}(GeBr_2)$	3.370(1)	29
$HW_2(CO)_{8}(NO)(P(OMe)_{3})$	3.381(2)	48
$[(Ph, P)_2N][HW_2(CO)_{10}]^g$	3.393 $(4)^{t}$ 3.391(1)	49

<sup>a</sup> Complexes with W-W distances greater than 2.9 Å for which a single W-W bond is postulated or can be inferred. <sup>b</sup> Me = methyl, guaiazulene.  $\degree$  Distances determined by X-ray diffraction, except Et = ethyl, Ph = phenyl, Cp =  $\eta^s$ -cyclopentadienyl, gazl = where noted. Esd of the last digit in parentheses.  $a$  No W-W bond is postulated, but a donor-acceptor  $W \rightarrow W$  bond is needed to complete the valence shell. *e* Two crystallographically independent molecules. W-W distance determined by neutron diffraction. This salt is the bent isomer.

ligands that fall into the "normal" category. With the exception of  $Fv[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]_{2}$  and  $W_{2}(CO)<sub>10</sub>GeBr<sub>2</sub>,<sup>29</sup>$  all "superlong" W-W single bonds (W-W greater than 3.3 **A)** contain bridging hydride ligands. This attests to the unusual nature of the title complex and the strained nature of the W-W bond it contains.

The strained nature of the W-W bond in  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  is also reflected in other comparisons. The W-W distance in the title complex is 0.125 **A** longer than that in the structure of  $[CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub><sup>24</sup>$ , in which a W-W distance of 3.222 (1) Å is not influenced by bridging ligands. On the other hand, the metal-metal separation reported herein is more than 0.5 **A**  shorter than the calculated distance between the ring centers for a perfectly planar fulvalene (a nearly planar fulvalene has been noted in  $Fv_2Fe_2$ ,<sup>30</sup> in which the nonbonding Fe---Fe distance is 3.984 (4) Å). Therefore the structure of  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$ can be regarded as a compromise between the antagonistic ideal geometries of fulvalene and ditungsten. In  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$ , a tungsten-tungsten interaction **is** required to reach an 18 electron configuration, but coordination to the relatively inflexible fulvalene ligand puts a constraint on the closeness the two tungsten atoms can attain.

Another long **W-W** bond has been described for the closely related  $(gazl)[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]_{2}$  (gazl = guaiazulene), which is characterized by a folded guaiazulene ligand and a W-W separation of 3.264 (1)  $\AA$ .<sup>31</sup> The molybdenum analogue is very similar, with  $Mo-Mo = 3.267(6)$   $\AA$ .<sup>32</sup> It is interesting

- 
- 

<sup>(29)</sup> Burschka, C.; Stroppel, K.; Jutzi, P. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **1981,**  837, 1397-1 399.

<sup>(30)</sup> Churchill, M. R.; Wormald, J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1969, 8, 1970–1974.<br>(31) Cotton, F. A.; Hanson, B. E. *Inorg. Chem.* 1976, 15, 2806–2809.<br>(32) Churchill, M. R.; Bird, P. H. *Inorg. Chem.* 1968, 7, 1545–1552.

to note that, in order to accommodate similar M-M distances, the guaiazulene ligand in  $(gazl)[W(CO)_3]_2$  and  $(gazl)[Mo (CO)_3$ <sub>1</sub> is bent away from the metal atoms, while the fulvalene rings bend toward the metal centers in  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$ , due presumably to the contracted center of electron donation in guaiazulene relative to fulvalene.

The fulvalene ligand of the title structure contains two planar cyclopentadienyl rings (the maximum deviation of an individual atom from its calculated plane is 0.01 **A;** see Table V in the supplementary material) canted to form a dihedral angle of  $16.1$  (5)<sup>o</sup>. The correlation between metal-metal distance and the dihedral angle of fulvalene has already been summarized by Guggenberger and Tebbe<sup>8</sup> and Olthof<sup>9</sup> for a series of binuclear titanium complexes. The structures of binuclear fulvalene complexes are also known for the metals  $Mo<sub>10</sub>$ <sup>10</sup> Fe,<sup>30</sup> Ni,<sup>11</sup> and Ru.<sup>12</sup> For all of these, the dihedral angle of fulvalene ranges from  $-2$  (1)<sup>o</sup> in Fv<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub><sup>11</sup> (here a negative sign denotes a bending away from the metal centers), where the metal separation is the largest (Ni $\cdot \cdot$ Ni = 4.163 (1) Å), to a value of  $+28.5^{\circ}$  in Fv[Ru(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>,<sup>12</sup> which displays the shortest M $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ M distance of 2.821 (1) Å. The range of dihedral angles accommodated by the fulvalene ligand demonstrates a certain flexibility in dealing with the electronic and structural requirements of dimeric metal units but also seems to be correlated with the differences in the reactivity of these complexes (see below).

The "twist" angle about C5-C6 of the fulvalene ligand is barely significant as evidenced by the torsion angles C4-C5-  $C6-C7 = 6(2)°$  and C1–C5–C6–C10 = 4(2)°. The structural parameters of the tungsten-fulvalene interaction are normal and equivalent to **tungsten-cyclopentadienyl** interactions.<sup>24,33</sup> The W-C(Fv) distances range from 2.30 (2) to 2.35 (1) **A** and average 2.32 (1) **A.** The perpendicular distances from W to the centers of the individual rings are 1.983 (1) and 1.973 (1) Å for W1 and W2, respectively. The C-C bonds vary in length from 1.36 (2) to 1.45 (3) **A** (mean 1.42 (3) **A)**  and are equivalent to the bridging C5-C6 distance of 1.43 (2) **A.** No systematic variations are apparent in either the W- $C(Fv)$  or C-C distances for this structure.<sup>34</sup> The internal C-C-C angles range from 106 (2) to 110 (2)<sup>o</sup> and average 108 (1). The W-C(carbonyl) distances are normal<sup>24,31,33</sup> and average 1.97 (2) Å, while the C-O distances average 1.15 (2) A. The W-C-O angles vary from 169 (2) to 179 (2)<sup>o</sup> but have significant thermal parameters associated with the carbonyl groups.

**Reactivity of**  $Fv[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]$ **. As has been noted in the case** of  $Fv[Ru(CO)<sub>2</sub>]_{2}$ ,<sup>12</sup> we find that the reactivity of the bridged  $Fv[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> is both qualitatively and quantitatively different from that of the unbridged analogue  $\text{Cp}_2\text{W}_2(\text{CO})_6$ . As has been reported,<sup>14</sup> we find that the title complex reacts with iodine to form a complex presumably lacking a W-W bond:  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2 + I_2 \xrightarrow{CH_2Cl_2} I(CO)_3W(Fv)W(CO)_3I$  (1)

This reaction is complete in about 5 min in the dark, while

under similar conditions the reaction of the unbridged [CpW-  $(CO)_{3}]_2$  to form CpW $(CO)_{3}$ I is incomplete after  $\frac{1}{2}$  h. Reaction 1 appears to proceed through an intermediate similar to that observed in the iodination of  $[CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]_{2}$ .<sup>5</sup>

This increased thermal reactivity of  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  and related molecules, like  $Fv[Ru(CO)<sub>2</sub>]_{2}^{12}$  is almost certainly related to the lengthening of the metal-metal bond over that in the unconstrained dimer. This lengthening, and presumably also weakening, of the metal-metal interaction leaves the fulvalene dimers more susceptible to attack by electrophilic reagents like iodine.

In contrast to this marked increase in thermal reactivity relative to that of the unbridged dimer, we observe a decrease in the photochemical reactivity that has come to characterize dinuclear single metal-metal-bonded carbonyl complexes.<sup>2</sup> Under conditions sufficient to convert 50% of a sample of  $[cpW(CO),],$  to  $CpW(CO),$  (0.8 mM complex, 1 M CH<sub>3</sub>I) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 1 mL volume, N<sub>2</sub> purge, 30 s of broad-band UV), the bridged  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  was converted to  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$ to the extent of less than 6%. Similarly, when an equimolar mixture of the two dimers is irradiated in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  solution under nitrogen, formation of CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>Cl from the unbridged dimer is relatively rapid, but little of the  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  reacts.

The similarity of the electronic spectrum of  $Fv[W(CO)]_2$ (see above) to that of the unbridged  $[CPW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]_{2}^{35}$  leads us The similarity of the electronic spectrum of Fv[W(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub><br>(see above) to that of the unbridged [CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub><sup>35</sup> leads us<br>to adopt an analogous assignment (352 nm,  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^*$ ; 548 nm, to adopt an analogous assignment (352 nm,  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^*$ ; 548 nm,  $\pi d \rightarrow \sigma^*$ ) and leads us to expect a similar reactivity. It is obvious from the results above that this expectation is not fulfilled and that  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_2$  undergoes reactions associated with the formation of 17-electron intermediates only slowly. The most obvious explanation for this marked difference in photochemical reactivity is that the joining of the cyclopentadienyl rings to form the fulvalene ligand has inhibited the separation of the radicals presumably formed from the cleavage of the metal-metal bond upon excitation and that fulvalene-enforced radical recombination is the dominant reaction path of the intermediate.

In contrast to  $Fv[Ru(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>12</sup>$  the irradiation of  $Fv[W (CO)$ <sub>3</sub>, in tetrahydrofuran solution does not cause any color changes or changes in the infrared spectrum. This implies that formation of the non-metal-metal-bonded isomer I11 is less favored in this tungsten complex than in the ruthenium analogue. a potential explanation lies in the presence of more

- (a) Wrighton, M. S.; Ginley, D. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, 97, 4246–4251. (b) Laine, R. M.; Ford, P. C. *Inorg. Chem.* 1975, 16,  $(35)$ 388-39 1.
- Levisalles, J.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rudler, H.; Daran, J.-C.; Jeannin, Y. J. *Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Commun.* 1981, 1057-1059.
- (37) Fischer, E. O.; Ruhs, A.; Friedrich, P.; Huttner, G. Angew. Chem., Int. *Ed. Engl.* 1977, 16, 465-466.
- Ellis, J. E.; Rochfort, G. L. *Organometallics* 1982, *1,* 682-689.
- $(39)$ (a) Levisalles, J.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rudler, H.; Daran, J.-C.; Dromzee, Y.; Jeannin, Y. J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* 1981, 152-154. **(b)**  Levisalles, J.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rudler, H.; Daran, J.-C.; Dromzee, Y.; Jeannin, Y.; Ades, D.; Fontanille, M. *Ibid.* 1981, 1055-1057.
- Linck, M. H. *Cryst. Srruct. Commun.* 1973, 3, 379-382.
- $(41)$ Levisalles, J.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rudler, H.; Daran, J.-C.; Dromzee, Y.; Jeannin, Y. J. *Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Commun.* 1980, 685-687.
- Schmid, G.; Boese, R. *Chem. Ber.* 1976, 109, 2148-2153.
- Levisalles, J.; Rudler, H.; Daran, F.; Jeannin, Y. J. *Organomet. Chem.*   $(43)$ 1980, 187, 233-242.
- Busetto, L.; Green, M.; Howard, J. A. K.; Hessner, B.; Jeffery, J. C.; Mills, R. M.; Stone, F. G. **A.;** Woodward, P. J. *Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Commun.* 1981, 1101-1103.
- Bennett, M. J.; Simpson, K. A. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1971, 93, 7156-7160. Levisalles, J.; Rudler, H.; Dahan, F.; Jeannin, Y. J. Organomet. Chem.  $(46)$
- 1980, 188, 193-202. **Olsen,** J. P.; Koetzle, T. F.; Kirtley, S. W.; Andrews, M.; Tipton, D. L.;  $(47)$
- Bau, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974, 96, 6621-6627. Love, R. A.; Chin, H. B.; Koetzle, T. F.; Kirtley, S. W.; Whittlesey, B. R.; Bau, R. J. *Am. Chem.* **SOC.** 1976, 98, 4491-4498.
- $(49)$ Wilson, R. D.; Graham, *S.* **A,;** Bau, R. J. *Organomet. Chem.* 1975, 91, C49-C52.

<sup>(33)</sup>  (a) Bueno, C.; Churchill, M. R. *Inorg. Chem.* 1981,20,2197-2202. *(b)*  Malito, J. T.; Shakir, R.; Atwood, J. J. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Tram.* 1980, 1253-1258. (c) Ginley, D. S.; Bock, C. R.; Wrighton, M. **S.;** Fischer, B.; Tipton, D. L.; Bau, R. J. *Organomet. Chem.* 1978, 157, 41-50. (d) St. Denis, J. N.; Butler, W.; Glick, M.; Oliver, J. T. *Ibid.* 1977, 129, 1-16. (e) Conway, A. J.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Smith, J. D. J. *Chem.* **SOC.,**  Dalton Trans. 1975, 1945–1949. (f) Peterson, R. B.; Stezowski, J. J.; Wan, C.; Burlitch, J. M.; Hughes, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 3532–3533. (g) Wilford, J. B.; Powell, H. M. J. Chem. Soc. A 1969, 8–15. (h) Semion, 1, 1547-1549. (k) Reference 27a.

<sup>(34)</sup> Systematic variations in fulvalene structural parameters have been proposed in  $[Ni(Fv)]_2^{11}$  and  $[CPTiCl]_2(Fv)$ .<sup>9</sup>

strain in the fulvalene ligand in  $Fv[Ru(CO),],$  (dihedral angle  $28.5^{\circ}$ <sup>12</sup> than in this tungsten complex (dihedral angle 16.1°).

We conclude that the linking of cyclopentadienyl rings to form fulvalene-bridged dinuclear metal carbonyls results in substantial alterations in spectral and reactivity patterns. These changes can be explained by the existence of the carbon-carbon bond joining the two five-membered rings and by the deformation of the molecule from ideal geometries as evidenced by the substantial lengthening of the W-W bond and the nonplanarity of the fulvalene ligand. Detailed studies of the photochemical reactivity of this compound are in progress.

**Note Added in Proof.** A rational synthesis of  $Fv[W(CO)_3]_{2}^{50a}$  and its photochemical reaction with alkynes<sup>50b</sup> have recently been reported.

**Supplementary Material Available:** Listings of least-squares planes in the fulvalene ligand (Table V), hydrogen atom parameters (Table VI), anisotropic thermal parameters (Table VII), and observed and calculated structure factors (Table VIII) for the title complex (13 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(50) (a) Vollhardt, K. P. C.; Weidman, T. W. *Organometallics* **1984,** *3,*  **82-86.** (b) Drage, J. *S.;* Tilset, M.; Vollhardt, P. C.; Weidman, T. W. *Ibid.* **1984,** *3,* **812-814.** 

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

# **Synthesis, Structure, and Reactivity of Tricarbonyl( a5-cyclopentadienyl) (q'-dimethyIcarbamodithioato) tungsten**

HARMON B. ABRAHAMSON,\* MICHAEL L. FREEMAN, M. BILAYET HOSSAIN, and DICK VAN DER HELM\*

#### *Received September 9, 1983*

When a mixture of  $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)W(CO)_3]_2$  and tetramethylthiuram disulfide in toluene solution is irradiated with visible light, the title complex,  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>WSC(S)N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, is formed in excellent yield. Infrared spectroscopic studies indicate monodentate coordination of the dimethyldithiocarbamate ligand; this conclusion is confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The molecule crystallizes in space group  $P\bar{1}$ , with unit cell parameters  $a = 7.616$  (7)  $\bar{A}$ ,  $b = 9.962$  (11)  $\bar{A}$ ,  $c = 9.931$  (8) A,  $\alpha = 113.38$  (6)°,  $\beta = 94.68$  (9)°,  $\gamma = 95.83$  (10)°, and  $Z = 2$ . With the use of Mo K $\alpha$  radiation, 3932 unique reflections with  $2\theta \le 60^\circ$  were collected, and the structure was solved by the heavy-atom technique and refined to a final *R* factor of 3.2%. The structure clearly shows monodentate coordination of the dithiocarbamate, with a nonbonding W-S2 distance of 3.905 (1) **A.** Carbonyl loss from the title complex can be induced either thermally or photochemically, leading to a carbonyl complex with a bidentate dithiocarbamate ligand. Quantum yields are reported for both the formation and decomposition reactions of the title complex. The title complex reacts with  $W(CO)_{5}(THF)$  to form a  $W(CO)_{5}$  adduct and with iodine to form oxidized products.

Metal complexes containing dithiocarbamate (alkyl- and dialkylcarbamodithioato,  $S_2CNR_2^-$ ) ligands<sup>1</sup> have a long and rich history.<sup>2,3</sup> Due to the flexibility possible in the distribution of electrons in this type of ligand, they can be used to stabilize a wide range of oxidation states.<sup>4</sup> The uses of both the free ligands and their complexes are widespread and range from accelerators in rubber polymerization to agricultural fungicides.<sup>3</sup> In addition, dithiocarbamate complexes are widely used in the study of basic chemical questions,<sup>3,5</sup> due to their diversity and robustness.

**In** almost all complexes, dithiocarbamates serve as chelating ligands,<sup>3,6</sup> binding to the metal through both sulfur atoms, A.



- (1) Abbreviations used in this paper:  $R_2$ dtc = dialkyldithiocarbamate (*N,N*-dialkylcarbamodithioate), S<sub>2</sub>CNR<sub>2</sub>; Cp =  $\eta^2$ -cyclopentadienyl; Me<sub>4</sub>TDS = tetramethylthiuram disulfide, bis(dimethylthiocarbamyl) disulfide,  $[SC(S)N(CH_3)_2]_2$ ;  $R_f$  = perfluoroalkyl.
- **(2)** Thorn, G. D.; Ludwig, R. A. "The Dithiocarbamates and Related Compounds"; Elsevier: Amsterdam, **1962.**
- **(3)** (a) Coucouvanis, D. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1970,** *11,* **233-371.** (b) Coucouvanis, D. *Ibid.* **1979,** *26,* **301-469.**
- (4) Willemse, J.; Cras, J. A.; Steggerda, J. J.; Keijzers, C. P. Struct.<br>Bonding (Berlin) 1976, 28, 83-126.<br>(5) Steggerda, J. J.; Cras, J. A.; Willemse, J. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas<br>1981, 100, 41–48.
- 

Very few complexes containing monodentate dithiocarbamate ligands, B, are known. In only four cases,  $Pt(S_2CN(i Bu)_{2}$ )<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>7a</sup> Pt(S<sub>2</sub>CNEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>,<sup>7b</sup> Ru(S<sub>2</sub>CNEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>- $(NO)$ ,<sup>8a</sup> and  $Au(S_2CNEt_2)_{3}$ ,<sup>8b</sup> has the monodentate nature of a dithiocarbamate ligand been confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

The usual evidence for monodentate coordination lies in the infrared stretching frequencies of the thioureide  $(C^{-1}N)$  and CS bonds of the molecule.<sup>9</sup> These criteria have been used to establish monodentate coordination in Mo-  $(S_2CNHR)(\eta^3-C_3H_5)(CO)_2(bpy)$  (R = Me, Et<sup>10</sup>) and Cp- $(CO)$ <sub>2</sub>FeSC(S)NCH<sub>3</sub>R (R = H,<sup>11</sup> Me<sup>12</sup>). Infrared data in combination with 13C NMR spectra have suggested monodentate coordination in  $Ir(S_2CNEt_2)$ <sub>3</sub>(cyclooctadiene)<sup>13</sup> and

- 
- (6) Eisenberg, R. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* 1970, 12, 295–369.<br>
(7) (a) Lin, I. J. B.; Chen, H. W.; Fackler, J. P., Jr. *Inorg. Chem.* 1978,<br>
17, 394–401. (b) Fackler, J. P., Jr.; Thompson, L. D.; Lin, I. J. B.;<br>
Stephenson, T. **1982,** *21,* **2397-2403.**
- **(8)** (a) Domenicano, A.; Vaciago, **A.;** Zambonelli, L.; Loader, P. L.; Venanzi, L. M. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1966, 476-477.** (b) Noordik, J. H. *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* **1973,** *2,* **81-84.**
- **(9)** *See, e&:* Bonati, F.; **Ugo,** R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1967,** *10,* **257-268.**  Nakamoto, **K.** "Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds", 3rd ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, **1978;**  p **339.**
- **(10)** Perpinan, M. F.; Santos, **A.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981,** *221,* **63-70.**
- **(11)** Busetto, L.; Palazzi, **A,;** Foliadis, **V.** *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1980,** *40,*  **147-1 52.**
- **(12)** (a) Nagao, G.; Tanaka, **K.;** Tanaka, T. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1980,** *42,*  **43-48.** (b) O'Connor, C.; Gilbert, J. D.; Wilkinson, *G. J. Chem. Soc. A* **1969. 84-87.**